

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? The only thing I would recommend is that if we as hunters are cutting our tags which I'm in support of due to your current poor management of our deer and elk herds. I feel that ranchers and farmers should have to cut their cattle and sheep allotments on the mountain also. And as long as we are below objective how dare you give these landowners depredation tags. I worked that program for almost 7 years and it is disgusting how you lie to the public and give these guys so many tags but then turn around and lower objectives on units so they can have more cows on the mountain and then give them dep tags so they can shoot them in the fields plus you give them thousands in money on top of that. Hunters are the ones that are constantly harving to give up stuff while the ranchers and farmers sit there like a bunch of socialists with there hands out screaming for more government money and tags. If their sheep or cows are on public land for anytime during the year they shouldn't get anything from the state. We need to bring our numbers up. Also we shouldn't have any units that are less than 18-20 bucks per hundred does. All units should be 18-20 or 20-25. Also I know how salt lake operates when the biologist sends there numbers and recommendations and they dont like it they send it back and tell them they still need to increase tags. Only on extreme cases are they willing to cut. So this tells me what all of us as hunters already know, the numbers are far worse than the DWR is even showing. They need to be held accountable.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

The only thing I would recommend is that if we as hunters are cutting our tags which I'm in support of due to your current poor management of our deer and elk herds. I feel that ranchers and farmers should have to cut their cattle and sheep allotments on the mountain also. And as long as we are below objective how dare you give these landowners depredation tags. I worked that program for almost 7 years and it is disgusting how you lie to the public and give these guys so many tags but then turn around and lower objectives on units so they can have more cows on the mountain and then give them dep tags so they can shoot them in the fields plus you give them thousands in money on top of that. Hunters are the ones that are constantly harving to give up stuff while the ranchers and farmers sit there like a bunch of socialists with there hands out screaming for more government money and tags. If their sheep or cows are on public land for anytime during the year they shouldn't get anything from the state. We need to bring our numbers up. Also we shouldn't have any units that are less than 18-20 bucks per hundred does. All units should be 18-20 or 20-25. Also I know how salt lake operates when the biologist sends there numbers and recommendations and they dont like it they send it back and tell them they still need to increase tags. Only on extreme cases are they willing to cut. So this tells me what all of us as hunters already know, the numbers are far worse than the DWR is even showing. They need to be held accountable.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? 261 W 800 N

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit? Make this hunt a draw hunt not over the counter.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? Let's keep building the elk herd back up on the Wasatch unit.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? Glad to see no public cow tags for the Wasatch/currant creek unit let's keep it that way and build the herd back up to the levels of 8-10 years ago

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? Thank You for doing this. I live in Utah and rarely hunt here. I hunt in Wyoming and Colorado because of the over hunting that takes place here in my home state.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? Please reduce this even more.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
March 30, 2020 10:52 am

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Deblois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 6, 2020 12:26 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I support the reduction of 1200 tags for the Oquirrh/Stansbury unit

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit? Sounds like a great idea as long as we have access to the areas to be hunted.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? After viewing the video I am thinking none of you have ever been in the Book Cliffs area. Both the Little Creek and Bitter Creek areas are completely over run with Buffalo. You can hardly ride anywhere in the wilderness area without running into huge herds that hardly even bother to get out of your way. I really thought after observing conditions closely for the last few years the recommendation for this year would be at least triple last years in the Little Creek area. During the Elk Hunt last year we counted over 400 head in a 2 or 3 mile stretch in West Willow alone. I would encourage you to take a trip out there and do some riding.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? 2055 w 1040 S

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I support the 1200 tag reduction on the Oquirrh Stansbury deer hunt. Something needs to be done out there. There just isnt enough deer out there. Please limit Non Resident tags as well.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 6, 2020 5:47 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? 2055 W 1040 S

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? Any reduction of tags is a good move for the Stansburies. especially if no one can hunt the Oquirrths because of all the land issues. The hunters pour over to the Stansbury range where there is better access.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 6, 2020 9:49 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I support reduction in deer tags for the Stansbury unit.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 6, 2020 9:50 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? Support deer tag reductions on the Stansbury deer unit. Tags need to be reduced now on this unit now.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule?

I do not favor what is happening with predator management. We are already seeing the director allowing changes in permit numbers not consistent with management needs. In reviewing data from deer studies going on currently, we are not seeing a high loss of fawns or adult does. This is recent data obtained from the study being conducted by BYU. The study does not support the killing of more cougars, but the director is caving to special interests and increasing tags on many units. The passage of the Albrecht bill allows for the director to increase permits WHEN cougars or bears ARE proven to be a detriment to herds, but the current data from BYU is not supporting the increases on the amount of units we are seeing increases imposed. The BYU information is available at <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1UHbOGJN3mmxGjxy7kstQ1FJ3gRAi26kW>

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for managing predatory wildlife species?

The biology of management is being removed with this effort. This is a knee jerk reaction to cater to some special interests at the expense of sound biology in predator management. Same as above.

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit?

Time will tell whether this is going to reduce or solve the problems with bison on private ground causing damage to resources. Hopefully the landowners will see some benefits, but the introduction of bison on the Bookcliffs is proving to be a questionable decision.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations?

The Bookcliffs spike elk hunt needs to end. As a hunter of the Bookcliffs and an outfitter who lives in Green River Utah I have years of experience and observations there., I spend all year and especially each fall on the unit and have done so for the past 30 years, I strongly disagree with the spike hunt. The reduction of mature bulls is alarming. The elk herd is not at objective and allowing some more mature bulls to be on the units does not compromise the forage available. We are harvesting more spike elk than can be sustained to keep a healthy mature bull herd. The reduction each year of mature bull hunting to allow for spike elk hunting is not an opportunity improvement for a hunter who chooses to hunt a mature bull on a unit such as the roadless Bookcliffs who many dream of the backcountry hunt. Spike elk hunts should be on units at herd objective and not on units that are under overall population objectives. The Bookcliffs is the area Utah is struggling to manage a healthy elk herd and continuing the spike hunt is compromising the unit. The argument that the spike hunt is an opportunity for families to get together to hunt is not what I see on the spike elk hunt. It is much more made up of adults males and not wives and kids, especially the roadless portion.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones:

I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

I oppose the high number of cow elk tags on the Bookcliffs. I understand the DWR is attempting to gather teeth samples on cow elk harvested to gain information on the two Bookcliff units, but the cow elk tags, especially the Littlecreek roadless portion is way to many for the amount of cow elk on the unit. I would ask the cow tags be cut in half, still allowing data to be collected, but in a much more rational way. The elk herd is struggling and increasing cow harvest is not going to help the unit recover.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson:

I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson:

I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 7, 2020 9:07 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I support the Division's proposal. I oppose unnecessary cuts in permits that are I driven by emotion or anecdote rather than science.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 8, 2020 9:13 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Deblois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Deblois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit?

I will probably continue to comment on this proposal as new information comes forward during the remaining 3 RAC meetings, but I want to address a couple things Covy brought up in the reasoning behind taking away bonus points and imposing the waiting period for this hunt.

Before I do so, here is what I commented before the Northern region RAC meeting: (this is just for context)

While I support this proposal, I do have a couple concerns.

First of all, thank you for what you all do, and thank you for providing us with the best possible public process during this pandemic.

This is a great opportunity for hunters to be able to pursue an animal that many may never draw in their lifetime.

That said, I don't think this is a proper tool to try and reduce point creep.

To make this effective, you need as many hunters on the ground as possible, and such a deterrent--especially the lifetime waiting period--will most certainly stop the vast majority of would-be bison hunters from attempting this hunt.

It's already a VERY difficult hunt, and most likely limited only to hunters with access to pack animals, and hunters who are in extremely good shape.

A couple other key points to consider.

1) Our bonus point system is used in Limited Entry hunts... This is not a limited entry hunt, there is no limit on who, or how many can purchase the tag.

2) The lifetime waiting period is only for once in a lifetime hunts... This is very likely a hunt that will continue for several seasons, and as such, this is not a once in a lifetime hunt, and that waiting period should not apply.

All that said, if the waiting period and bonus points were only forfeited if the hunter is successful, then perhaps I could consider that a fair compromise.

Thanks for taking the time to read this.

PS: since this is the only comment I have regarding the proposals, can I suggest you read the comments people have given? (provided they're suitable for air time)

With the extreme circumstances causing the meeting to be closed from public--in person--comment, I would like to hear the comments of other sportsmen, as well as those of the sportsman's groups that will be

submitted while I'm watching the meeting from home.

My concerns were brought up very well by Justin during the RAC meeting, and my thanks go out to him on that... it was an excellent discussion, and my views couldn't have been better represented.

Since this "version" of the process gives me a chance for some rebuttal, I'm going to take it ;)

Covy suggested that this tag is no different than someone buying a CWMU tag, or purchasing an expo tag since they're sold "over the counter".

In a way, he's correct... if I've already drawn a moose tag, I can still buy a CWMU tag, or even purchase another moose tag through the expo, or a voucher that's offered at a similar banquet.

And of course, if I bought one of those tags before drawing them, I would not be eligible to put in for that hunt through the public draw because I would have incurred the waiting period... That's where the similarities end though.

The success rate on OIL CWMU and/or "expo" tags are almost 100%... these are VERY high quality hunts (and that's why they sell for many tens of thousands of dollars each)

To call them "OTC" is not a fair statement... unless you're VERY wealthy, you can't just go buy one of those tags.

This proposed hunt really is "OTC", and truly open to the public, and seemingly affordable enough that anyone could reasonably buy this tag.

Success rate wise, this is a VERY LOW success hunt... in fact, with the pressure required to do what the division is hoping, you can expect the actual success to be less than half of what it's already been for the hunters that have hunted it in the past.

I guess you can try offering it as proposed, maybe you'll get some "takers", however if the division really wants to pressure the animals, it needs to be structured in a way that encourages hunters to come out en-masse and really push the animals off the unit.

Bison are very pressure sensitive, maybe even more than elk, and if we want them to change zip codes, the orange army needs to be an army, not a spattering of people willing to "take their chances" on a once in a lifetime hunt.

Personally, I have zero bison points, but if I ever draw my moose tag, it's next on my list of OIL hunts... there's NO WAY I'd throw away my chance to hunt on the Henry mountains for a bison (the only genetically pure free range bison herd in the nation), just to say I got to hunt "bison".

Okay, thanks for taking the time to read this... maybe I'll come up with more after next week's meetings :)

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? Why not let hunters who bump into Mountain Lions while hunting give them the option to use their deer or elk tag to tag the Mtn Lion? They can no longer hunt the animal the tag was intended for if they tag a Mountain Lion.

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? Hold the big game draw later in the year if you cant get tag recommendations done before the application deadline in March.

There needs to be more reductions in general season mule deer tags. The herds are not in good shape.

Why are tags on the South Cache LE Elk cut? Why aren't you cutting GS spike tags if LE tags are being reduced every other year?

Establish a Mountain Goat herds on the Wellsville, and Mt Naomi ranges. Need more opportunity for Mountain Goats. They seem less prone to the diseases that sheep get.

LE Archery Elk needs to be during the rut. LE Rifle Elk should be during the LE Muzzleloader Elk season. LE Muzzleloader should be in October.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

-Cow moose, ewe sheep should be once-in-a-lifetime antlerless draw. Does not affect your chances of drawing the male trophy species.

-If the Limited Entry Elk tags on the South Cache are being cut again! Why aren't you cutting more cow elk tags? Why did you add private land cow elk tags?

-I like the opportunity for ewe sheep tags, I hope it is sustainable and possible in more units.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 11, 2020 9:55 pm

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations?

I would like to address the permit numbers for desert bighorn sheep on the Kaiparowits units. As someone who has over 20 years experience with sheep on these units from my time as a being a M.S. graduate student studying them, a Utah division of wildlife biologist over these units, and now currently a sportsman and sheep hunting outfitter, I am concerned about the rapid increase in permits the last couple of years particularly on the west subunit. I am well aware of the balance to harvest rams when you have them, before issues such as disease have a potential impact on them. However, if you look at the Zion unit which for years has been the best trophy unit in the state, this is no longer the case. Using the Zion desert sheep unit as an example where consistently high permit numbers along with aggressive removal of sheep for transplants, this unit is now a shadow of what it once was in terms of numbers and quality of rams. Tag numbers are being cut again on Zion for 2020, to a point nearly half of where they were at their peak. While the Zion has challenges with nearby communities creating exposure to domestic sheep or goats, the Kaiparowits does not. The Kaiparowits West subunit has been a great unit for hunters looking for big rams for many years. But with the current trend in increasing permit numbers, this will not last. In addition to draw permits, the Kaiparowits units have 2 conservation permits each year, at least one of those possibly both will harvest on the west. Additionally, there is one K-west specific tag given to the SLC Hunt Expo convention. And in 2019, the statewide conservation and statewide sportsman permit holder's for desert sheep BOTH killed on the K-west. So there were four extra rams killed on the K-west in 2019 above and beyond the draw tags. With the current declined status of the Zion unit, the K-west will continue to bear the brunt from extra harvest. Please keep all of this in mind when considering recommending adding any new public draw permits for 2020. With elk we have a handful of units and with deer we designate a couple units as premium units with higher age objectives and we manage for overall the best quality. My question is why can we not do that for desert or Rocky Mountain sheep as well? The units across extreme southern Utah such as the Zion and Kaiparowits most notably, which have Nevada and Arizona transplanted sheep and genetics, have proven they can produce great rams if given the opportunity. I ask the Wildlife Board and RAC's to not increase the K-West tags for 2020. The unit can not keep producing enough older, trophy rams with the continued permit increases.

The K-west and east subunits have not been helicopter surveyed since 2018, and the Escalante has not been surveyed since 2017, yet permit increases have been recommended in these units again for 2020. The bighorn sheep management plan has a guideline to recommend permit numbers based on 30 to 60% of the total rams counted that are six years and older. I am requesting that on units like the West that have the ability to produce bigger rams with better trophy potential, that the 30% guideline and nothing higher is used for setting permits. Based on the last survey on the K-west subunit In 2018, there were 40 rams counted ages 6 and older. Using a 30% guideline for a permit recommendation, that would put the number of harvested rams on the West at 12 for 2019. In 2019 there were 14 rams killed on the unit with again an increase proposed in 2020, plus the

extra tags as mentioned. I don't believe that the majority of those that have waited to draw permits for these once in a lifetime species, are interested in shooting just any ram. There are other units across Utah that are not capable of producing as big a rams at the Zion and Kaiparowits units. We should use those units as the types of units that increased opportunity can occur. I urge the Wildlife Board and RAC's to manage the units like Zion, and Kaiparowits West and East units that have best demonstrated the ability to produce the best rams in Utah, to be managed a bit more conservatively from a tag number standpoint, using the low end 30% guideline as previously mentioned. Thank you for your time.

Adam R. Bronson Cedar City, Utah

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 12, 2020 9:00 am

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I oppose the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit? I think this is a great opportunity. I am wondering though how much of the gps data will be shared with hunters? Knowledge of when the bison are moving onto the unit will be critical. Past years info will also be critical for planning. Only 2 boat permits are available per day during the offseason. As soon as these permits are approved those are going to disappear quick. In order to achieve your objective and for hunters to be successful all information will need to be shared. Also once this herd gets pressured they may go deeper into the unit and never leave back onto the reservation. They may end up on the flat top and spread all over the nine mile unit. I guarantee if this is approved I will participate. Best opportunity for a hunt of a lifetime in the state.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? I think this is a wise decision and supports what I have been seeing on the landscape.

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for managing predatory wildlife species? I would like to see less helicopter hunts as they are expensive, the money for that could be used to benefit local economies by continuing to do predator compensation methods. I would also like to see less restrictions on trapping. In the Southeastern Region trappers are being pestered almost to the point of harassment by the game warden. There are so many rules and specifications on the rule that it is hard to trap legally even if we want to. If a trap tag is lost because of an animal struggling and the game warden sees it we will be in trouble. If the gap in a trap is slightly less than 3/16th of an inch we get in trouble even though we made the effort to make it as close as possible. There is a serious lack of trust between game wardens and trappers and hunters alike. If we notice illegal activity going on and report it we are the first ones they condemn. If we are trying to be honest and call in about a question, concern or something that happened, we are the ones reprimanded. On private property I would like to see trapping restrictions lessen as far as the trap number doesn't need to be on a trap on private property. I would also like to see that a game warden get a warrant to come onto private property instead of trespassing. If someone has gone through the process to buy tags and licenses why are they the first ones that game warden will try to prove guilty when we are paying their salary. And who keeps tabs on them to make sure they are following the rules to the strictness that they wish we adhere to. I would hope that cougars and possibly bears could be trapped during the appropriate season if we have a tag. Especially in areas where houndsman struggle hunting them. I would also like to see some predator management in national parks somehow. We also need to start managing raccoons, skunks, ravens and hawks to some extent somehow. Raccoons are moving everywhere in places they have never been and threaten grouse, turkeys and other native wildlife. Do we have a plan for feral hogs when they reach Utah? I would like to also see a shoot on spot order for if wolves are seen in Utah. I would hope that bobcat tag prices are dropped, I do think the limit has been working for them though. We need a way to promote trapping as a healthy way of managing wildlife as well as to help new trappers find it enjoyable.

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? In some places most of the hunters would be interested in resting a unit completely for a few years if necessary in order to increase deer population.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? I agree that populations are dropping and less antlerless tags should be given out

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for managing predatory wildlife species? Hopefully we use it right away.

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit? Great idea. Have to get people familiar with the area and know what they are doing the tags to have any buffalo harvested on the unit.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I think we need to go off of overall population more than buck to doe ratios. And don't think it is right that a non resident has better odds at getting a general season deer tag on a lot of units than residents do. There is not another state that is like this. If this is the case I should have option to just pay more to get in there pool so I can at least get a tag. I hope most of the decrease of tags on general units are non resident tags.

Also think we should do 3 point or better on deer. No need to shoot the yearling bucks unless maybe the youth can if they want. The buck to doe ratio would go way up doing this.

Over all doing a great job keep it up.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations? Not a fan of CWMU's

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37? Not a fan of CWMU's

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit?

This just seems to be a way for everyone to wash their hands of all the problems of the ute's buffalo.

After watching the SE rack meeting, it seems the landowners hate hunters more than the bison. I was interested in trying to do this hunt until I heard the DWR say they are trying to discourage hunters and the landowners don't want anyone close to their property.

Maybe the tribe should buy out the ranger's aum's and then you won't have any problems.

Or build a little better fence and hire a bison header to kill anything that gets in range creek.

The blm and FFA group were able to fence the bison off of the riparian area's on the Henry mountains.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

I support most of the antlerless proposal except for the Deer.

As poor as the deer numbers are we should not be hunting any doe's.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the proposal for managing predatory wildlife species? I personally wouldn't mind just not worrying at all about keeping predators. But I understand that might be minority opinion.

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? I am personally in support of more and bigger bucks on general units so if that means cutting tags more often I am for that. I personally would love another unit opened up that is kind of a middle unit. Manage for 25 bucks for 100 does and of course that unit gets a lot less tags but that would be great to have another unit for that!

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? The only comment is why is the bookcliffs spike hunt still going on if you are having problems with the quality of the elk. It seems since that Hunt was started the quality has decreased.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? My main objection to this proposal is the increase of antlerless elk tags in the bookcliffs. If you kill more elk it doesn't guarantee the elk will be the old ones you are killing. Like I heard was the reasoning of the increase in the NE RAC meeting. Won't you be killing also some of the productive young cows with the increase of elk tags.

.When I have been out there I believe you have too much pressure on the elk. There is almost an elk season going on from August until December and with this proposal you will be putting more pressure on the elk. Let's get rid of some of the seasons. Spike elk hunts would be the first in my opinion.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2020 Wildlife Board feedback
April 21, 2020 11:18 am

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations?

Beaver Concern:

On October 11, 2019 a press release was issued by DWR stating that there was currently 370,000 deer in the state. This press release would have been after the 2019 spring counts and after the 2019 Archery and muzzle hunts. Covey Jones quoted on this press release that "We have more bucks on the statewide landscape currently than we have ever had". Two months later on December 10th at the Southern RAC, the same Colby Jones told us that he knew the Beaver and southern units had taken a big hit in the deer herd. How can we believe the numbers that the DWR claims to have on the Beaver unit. They are right, the Beaver unit has taken a big hit in the deer herd. But this is just not over one year, but has been going down for several years. If all of these deer died in one year, you would be stumbling over dead Caucasus everywhere. Funny how the DWR models and formulas were fine until concerned hunters began to really voice an opposition. the past couple years.

As for the Beaver unit cuts. They are cutting 900 tags from 3,000 to 2,100. Glad to see some type of recognition, but this will not be enough to ever bring this unit back. I would like to make some suggestions from being a life long hunter of the Beaver unit and a very concerned hunter.

1. The unit has many open sage brush areas that are easy buck kill for hunters, especially during the rifle hunt. 2,100 hunters, hunting very few bucks will not help the deer herd. This unit needs to have another 900 tags cut, just like the Cache unit to have any chance of helping the buck herd.
2. The unit is to large to manage, and many tag holders hunt the same small areas. These areas of open sage brush ridges naturally make it a hot spot for hunters. The area needs to be split, so that it can be managed for the small amounts of deer that are in these areas. An easy split would be the East and West side of 1-15 and maybe even a North end could make a 3rd split.
3. The statistics show that in 2018 there were 13,500 deer on the Beaver. In 2019 the number went down to 12,000 so 350 tag cuts were made. Obviously the 350 tag cuts were not enough, because now cuts of 900 tags are being made. I think it is time to get ahead of the problem and not keep chasing the problem. Shutting the unit down is your best option to get ahead of the unit, but if not then tag cuts better at least double the proposed amount or it is just a hope that 900 will be enough of a cut.
4. It was mentioned that the Beaver was the 2nd worst in the state for deer health and conditions. The Cache was probably number one, so they at least tried to get ahead of the problem with more tag cuts. Why in the world if deer numbers are drastically on the decline would you still have any doe permits . The Beaver did drop from 50 tags to 25 tags, but if you are dropping deer that fast, why would you want to have any tags on the doe herd. An interesting point here is that the DWR recommended a 50

percent cut in doe permits on the Beaver from 50 to 25, but only a 30% cut on the buck tags.

5. Why on earth would you want to have a late season muzzle hunt, when you have just admitted that the buck to doe ratio is too low, and then the very next thing you do is send out 5 hunters in Early November to have an easier chance at killing 5 of our very few mature bucks.

The Beaver unit cannot sustain 2,100 hunters with so few bucks on the landscape at this time. Unlike the Article in October of last year, the Beaver unit is in the worst possible way for amount of deer. I recently (last week) went to one of my favorite areas on the Beaver and walked over 7 miles of area. This is an area that could adequately hold several hundred deer and in the past it has done just that. On this trip, I counted 18 deer. Not sure how many were buck or doe. Not sure how 18 deer spread out over 7 miles of landscape calculates on a dwr formula, but from a 50 year sportsman on the Beaver unit, it calculates to the very lowest amount of deer I have ever seen on this unit. I would assume if you were to go personally look at that unit, you would have to admit it looks like an area that needs to be shut down.

Hopefully you will make some consideration that may help on the Beaver unit. 350 tag cuts did not help a year ago. 900 tags will not help this year. Maybe if we are lucky, larger cuts and no doe permits, may start the slow process of increasing deer on the Beaver unit.

Thanks for your time in reading this.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

It was stated in the presentation that Doe tags are given out when the deer population gets to high and exceeds carrying capacity or starts to hurt the habitat.

I can tell you that none of these reasons are happening on the Beaver unit. When bad winter kill happens or deer killed on the roads cause a drastic reduction in deer numbers, it makes no sense to hand out even more tags to make matters worse.

25 doe tags does not sound like alot, but when the numbers are so low, it could make a huge difference right now.

The Beaver unit does not need any help in reducing the deer herd with doe permits.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the changes to the cougar and bear rule? Need more permits for cougar

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? The Cache and the Beaver units are the two worst units in the state , the Cache is proposed to cut 800 more tags, why not give the Beaver 800 more, that would be cut of 1700 tags. Or cut 1200 to 1500 tags on the Beaver
Their just not any deer on the Beaver.
Cut the late mussel hunt on the Beaver and all the Doe hunts

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? Their no need to kill any does on the Beaver unit

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations? The idea of having 1 general unit in each region with a higher buck to doe ratio than the others would be ideal. I understand the majority of the hunting population is concerned about opportunity rather than quality. The last 30% or so of us would really appreciate having a local unit catered to us. It is hard to find a solid buck on a general unit that is worth getting excited about, I understand that this is what the limited entry unit is for, but can we get some middle ground? It is a 2 hour drive away to get to one of these Limited entry units from me. It would be so great to have a middle ground unit closer to us that you could go and see a quality buck during the rut, or scout during the shed season..ect. Even a slight increase of a 20-24 buck to doe ratio would be satisfying on just one unit within the southern unit. We do a similar thing with elk such as the Fishlake elk unit, compared to the Beaver Unit. Why can't we do this for deer. Instead of getting a tag every 2-3 years maybe it would be 4-5. Give the quality hunters a middle ground unit worth getting excited for. Thanks for taking the time to read this and all that you guys do to keep hunting alive and well in Utah!

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I support the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations?

In regards to the Antlerless hunt on the Oquirrh Mountain range (settlement & middle canyon), I am concerned we are taking off to many Antlerless deer that are in my opinion are mountain residing deer. I understand the deer population concerns in Tooele City proper, but these ARE not the Antlerless deer being taken. I have hunted Settlement Canyon for over 40 years and currently run and manage public access permits to hunt on private land in Settlement Canyon. I have managed this for over 20 years as well. Long short, deer numbers are down. I have noted a number of dead and I assume to be winter kill deer on private ground this spring. I was very happy to see that the buck tags on the Oquirrh Stansbury Unit were proposed to be cut back by over 1000. It really is need.

Long short again, taking the antlerless deer out of Tooele City may be a good thing, but these are not the deer being taken in my opinion. I would highly suggest the board not approve any antlerless deer tags in the Oquirrh Stansbury unit in 2020. Buck to Doe rations can only get better with more antlerless deer. This only brings in more revenue to the State of Utah in the long run.

I would be happy to discuss my opinion in more detail if asked to do so.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37?

CWMU programs in theory, are a great idea, but in my opinion, not managed or set up properly. Not very "Cooperative". The animals are owned by the state, but CWMU management sides so much to the landowner that the general public get the bad end of the deal. Lower Fish Creek access is a prime example. Splits should be 50/50 on tags and access times to hunt for public who draw CWMU tags should be much better.

If I ever run for public office, that I plan to do in the near future, this will be one piece of legislation I will entertain. The State of Utah gets the bad end of the stick on CWMU's. Private landowners and state owned deer are making the private landowner rich. It needs to be split more evenly.

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? The herds are already down. No reason to even have an antlerless hunt.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations? The herds are already down. No reason to even have an antlerless hunt.

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? I am in support of the number of buck tags proposed for the oquirrh stansburys. "Reduced tags by 1200". In conjunction with this, I am opposed to the doe hunt on the oquirrh mountains. Most of the deer killed will not be deer that reside in the city, but will be those that remain in mountains. With the numbers being low on the oquirrh/stansburys we need to eliminate this doe hunt.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the changes to the cougar and bear rule by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about managing predatory wildlife species by Darren Debloois: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the proposal for the management of bison hunts in the Nine Mile, Range Creek Unit by Brad Crompton: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 bucks, bulls and once in a lifetime recommendations by Covy Jones: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 antlerless recommendations by Covy Jones: I oppose the proposal

Do you have any additional comments about the 2020 antlerless recommendations? I am opposed to the additional doe tags on the Stansbury Oquirrh unit. As a hunter in this unit I feel the deer suffered after a tough winter last year and the population was already low. An additional 50 doe tags with only hurt the group more especially though in the mountain ranges.

After viewing the presentation about the 2020 CWMU antlerless permit recommendations by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal

After viewing the presentation about the recommended changes to CWMU Rule R657-37 by Chad Wilson: I am neutral on the proposal
