

Form Name:
Submission Time:

September 2020 RAC Feedback
August 25, 2020 8:49 pm

**After viewing the 2021–22 fishing
recommendations and contest rule
amendments:**

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

**After viewing the proposed
amendments to Administrative Rule
R657-62 for deployed military:**

I support the amendments.

After viewing the 2021–22 fishing recommendations and contest rule amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

In addition I would prefer the DWR stock more traditional/ suitable forage species in reservoirs such as shad, dace, chubs, shiners and fathead minnows rather than crappie, perch, and or bluegill. Stocking a traditional forage species would better fisheries such as starvation reservoir walleye, red fleet walleye, cottonwood wipers and other water bodies in the Uintah basin.. stocking lakes like red fleet with so many predator species then secondarily stocking it with other somewhat predator species (crappie, perch) to supposedly provide forage for walleye and wipers is a strange approach kind of backwards and trying to utilize a species fore something is really isn't. you could choose to stock non spiny rayed fish that are native to provide the forage base. I also oppose limited entry fishing in any way shape or form, it could lead to land owners charging exorbitant trespass fees and or some messed up draw like big game where it takes decades to get a permit.. either open a water body to fishing or keep it closed.

Do you have any comments about the angler opportunity assessment update?

i still do not believe the numbers that you state of most anglers want to catch trout species. I feel you skew the numbers in some way either by targeting anglers at certain water bodies, and or the counties in which you send out the surveys. It may be that anglers just simply do not know what species they are really after, and are familiar with the name rainbow trout. i really find it hard to believe that most anglers want to catch rainbow or other cold water fishes over warm water fishes. Sure there are some water bodies like strawberry that receive huge amounts of pressure because of its proximity to the wasatch front but conducting surveys as a water body such as that will also skew your results. maybe ask each person who purchases a fishing license what species they are going to target the most at the time of purchase such as the migratory bird report where they ask for the numbers of each species of waterfowl.

After viewing the proposed amendments to Administrative Rule R657-62 for deployed military:

I support the amendments.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

September 2020 RAC Feedback
August 26, 2020 8:36 am

After viewing the 2021–22 fishing recommendations and contest rule amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

At Flaming Gorge I am required to buy a reciprocal addition to my Utah fishing licence in order to fish on both the Utah and Wyoming sides. The Kokanee salmon limits differ from Utah and Wyoming. Which state limits do I follow? Can we make the Kokanee and all other fish limits in the Flaming Gorge uniform between states? Thank You

After viewing the proposed amendments to Administrative Rule R657-62 for deployed military:

I support the amendments.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

September 2020 RAC Feedback
August 29, 2020 1:21 pm

After viewing the 2021–22 fishing recommendations and contest rule amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

Do you have any comments about the angler opportunity assessment update?

I support the recommendation of a 4 kokanee limit at Flaming Gorge..

After viewing the proposed amendments to Administrative Rule R657-62 for deployed military:

I support the amendments.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

September 2020 RAC Feedback
August 29, 2020 5:24 pm

After viewing the 2021–22 fishing recommendations and contest rule amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I oppose the proposed Pelican Lake bluegill limit because bluegill 7" or under are not worth filleting. May as well make the limit 5 bluegill. I support the 10 bluegill of any size with a protection or closure during the spawning time.

Do you have any comments about the angler opportunity assessment update?

Didn't like the idea of Limited Entry fishing.

After viewing the proposed amendments to Administrative Rule R657-62 for deployed military:

I support the amendments.
